







A Broadridge[®] Company

Presents

How Annuities Can Fit into a Fiduciary's Planning Process

Featuring





Fiduciary Insurance Services, LLC.



Today's Speakers – CANNEX & FIS LLC



Tamiko Toland

Director, Retirement Markets for Toronto

-based CANNEX

Individual and institutional annuity market in the U.S. and Canada.

CANNEX has long been known as the leading provider of income annuity pricing and now serves the entire scope of annuity products, including the evaluation of annuities with income guarantees.

Thought leader with more than 15 years of experience tracking trends and key issues on retirement income



Michelle Richter

Founder of Fiduciary Insurance Services, LLC

Twenty years of experience inventing, deploying, advocating for, and scaling innovative products

Creating a scalable intersection between the historically disparate worlds of Insurance and Financial Services.

Managed a \$27 million operating budget and team of 70 experts in product management, marketing, operations, compliance, wholesaling / distribution, and training at a Fortune 100 life insurer.



Today's Speakers - IncomeConductor



SHERYL O'CONNOR CEO & Co-Founder

History of building wealthtech software

25+ years leadership experience in fintech

Co-founded & grew RIA to \$900M AUM

Expert at building large enterprise program teams across global sectors



PHIL LUBINSKI, CFP[®] Co-Founder

The father of "segmentation buckets"

30+ years as advisor and entrepreneur in fintech

Founded & grew OSJ to \$6M GDC

Expert in financial planning and retirement income generation



TOM O'CONNOR CMO Co-Founder

Bringing retirement innovation to market

10+ years in financial services, tech and digital marketing

Head of technology for a \$900M AUM RIA

Expert in digital marketing, investment data analysis



Agenda Slide

- 1 The current state of annuity product types
- 2 State of the market of DC annuities from a regulatory and operational perspective
- 3 Products available and in development, Methods for evaluating annuities
- 4 Determining when to include annuities in plan
- 5 Placing in plan annuities in context of broader financial planning with Income Conductor
 - Incorporate annuities into holistic income planning
 - Retain the individual's plan assets
 - Consolidate additional household assets.
- 6 Predictions from the presenters on how the institutional annuity space may evolve



Q & A (10 min)





Most DC plans do not currently include annuities

Retirement income solutions offered*



66.2%

Plans that offered a retirement income solution to employees.

Most common: Drawdown solution or managed account services.

Plan sponsors offering QLACs or longevity insurance remains low, despite 2014 Treasury Dept. ruling easing restrictions.



Plan Sponsor Concerns About Including Annuities

60%

have not yet adopted solutions but may do so in the future.

75%

believe they create administration complexities for sponsors and recordkeepers.

61%

think their fees are too high...



...or not sufficiently transparent.

60%

feel current products are too complex or unproven.

58%

think that participants investing in them may face portability issues.



are actively monitoring future developments in guaranteed products.



Plan Sponsor Interest in Plan Income is Increasing

How do the following factors influence your org's view of offering lifetime income solutions to plan participants?

Note: Based on respondents who have already adopted or are planning/considering lifetime income solutions.



Determining Whether to Include an Annuity in Plan

Plan Sponsor asks - Will retirement income education and solutions... Help manage HR? / Save money? / Be a priority for the firm?



Fiduciary determines what type of retirement income solution to add to plan.

Considerations: Cost / Fiduciary risk tolerance Sophistication of workforce / Recordkeeper capabilities Existing DB / Human capital of workforce / Other factors



Do not add a retirement income solution to DC plan.

At retirement, participants roll assets over to solution of their choice without assistance from employer to generate retirement income.



Source: Institutional Retirement Income Council

https://iricouncil.org/wp -content/uploads/2019/09/plan -sponsor -guide -to -retirement -income -decision -beleifs -ii.pdf



SECURE 1.0 Key Annuity Provisions

The SECURE Act creates a fiduciary safe harbor for selection of an insurer, but not for selection of the contract.



Lifetime Income Disclosures Mandated by SECURE Effective September 2021

- DC plans must annually (or more often) provide lifetime income disclosures of participant account balances
- Disclosures must illustrate a lifetime annuity equivalent based on then -current account balance (not accounting for potential future contributions)
- Must be shown as both qualified joint & survivor annuity (assuming same -aged spouse) and single -life annuity

Will this clear information, combined with SECURE's other provisions...





Inspire a flood of demand for annuities in plan?

or will low in plan annuity uptake continue?

Many providers of Solutions

- Which to Choose and How?





... MassMutual









TRANSAMERICA®



Brighthouse









AllianceBernstein[®]







Employer -Sponsored Income Guarantee Designs

Participant Education | Particip

Participant Experience

Glidepath, Custom Path, or Discrete Election Decision?



Looking at the bigger picture



Retirees want a plan that is...



A mix of guaranteed income and growth opportunity



Clearly illustrated



Customized to their unique goals and objectives





Easily modified along the way





Time Segmentation



- Segments of asset allocation portfolios spread over time
- Each segment employing a different investment strategy

- Each strategy guided by a rate of return
- Retirement income flows from segment 1

- Remaining segments reinvest for potential growth
- Asset Allocation
 Ladder



Case Study

Shirley (current participant) and her husband Jack

Age: 60 (Retiring at 65)

Goal: \$7,000 Net/mo. PV

- Currently saving \$25,000/yr. in their 401ks
- Total assets: \$1,325,000
 - Shirley's 401k: \$345,000
 - Shirley's IRA: \$125,000
 - Jack's 401k: \$750,000
 - Joint: \$105,000
- Almost 75% of their assets are outside of Shirley's 401k
- Shirley's considering a lifetime income guarantee in her 401k
- Shirley's SS @ 67 \$2,200/mo.
- Jack's SS @ 67 \$2,900/mo.





Live Case Study







Resources

- https://dciia.org/page/RetirementTier
- https://iricouncil.org/wp -content/uploads/2018/03/Evaluation -Scorecard-for-Retirement -Income -Products.pdf
- https://iricouncil.org/wp -content/uploads/2019/09/plan -sponsor -guide -to -retirement -income -decision -beleifs -ii.pdf
- https://iricouncil.org/evaluation -tools/#1520343622078 -7c3a5514-60bf
- https://iricouncil.org/wp -content/uploads/2018/03/Debunking_Portability_Myths.pdf
- https://iricouncil.org/wp -content/uploads/2018/03/Types_of_Institutional_Retirement_Income_Products_Aug.2011.pdf
- https://iricouncil.org/wp -content/uploads/2018/04/RAC -Retirement -Income -Pres-PRoposal-from -IRIC-income products.pdf
- https://www.cannex.com/wp -content/uploads/2018/02/CANNEX_Byline -LA-Specialist-Dec-2017.pdf
- https://www.cannex.com/index.php/thought -leadership/white -papers -research/



CHECKLIST ON INPLAN VS. OUT-OF-PLAN INCOME SOLUTION

Sponsor believes a retirement income solution can help provide income certainty to its participants and is a priority for the organization

<u>Scale from 1 to 5</u> 1—strongly disagree with statement; 2 —disagree; 3—neither agree nor disagree; 4 —agree; 5 —strongly agree

Belief Statement	Score (1 to 5)
I believe the plan can attain scale by keeping assets in-plan.	
I believe the benefits of a retirement income solution outweighs the additional oversight and fiduciary costs.	
I believe the plan sponsor has the power to push the recordkeeper to support an in-plan solution.	
I would be willing to consider changing recordkeepers to get a specific in-plan solution or solutions not offered by the current recordkeeper.	
I believe communication to the participant from the plan is more effective at increasing adoption than access to customized communication from a financial advisor.	
I believe usage of a retirement income solution is significantly lower if participants are given the choice between taking their lump sum to roll over and leaving it in the plan.	
I am not concerned with increased fiduciary responsibility, provided the retirement income option meets qualified default investment alternative (QDIA) terms.	

Average 1.0 to 2.0 = It is strongly indicated that an out-of-plan solution would be best for the goals of the organization. Average 2.0 to 2.5 = It is likely that an out-of-plan solution is best.

Average 3.5 to 4.0 = An in-plan solution may meet most of the needs/beliefs of the employer.

Average 4.0 to 5.0 = It is strongly indicated that an in-plan solution would be best for the goals of the organization.

Source: IRIC

CHECKLIST ON AUTOMATIC OR AFFIRMATIVE ELECTION

Sponsor believes a retirement income solution can help provide income certainty to its participants and is a priority for the organization Scale from 1 to 5

1—strongly disagree with statement; 2 —disagree;
3—neither agree nor disagree; 4 —agree; 5 —strongly agree

Belief Statement	Score (1 to 5)
I am a proponent of automatic features, including auto-enroll, auto-escalate and auto-invest.	
I believe the plan committee, along with the plan advisor/consultant, can effectively work with the provider to integrate the solution into the plan's TDF glide path or other QDIA/investment options.	
I believe that "auto income" (with ability to opt out) with an in-plan solution will better provide most participants with retirement security than the retail alternatives.	
I believe that a retirement plan benefit is both an accumulation and a decumulation vehicle, and that participants who default part of their balance to an auto retirement income feature can be more productive and more retirement ready with less financial stress in the workplace.	
My company formerly offered a defined benefit plan and/or I believe that DC plans can become "pension-like" plans for our employees.	
I believe that employers ought to automate/default to income solutions (with ability to opt out), since automation has proven to substantially increase savings rates and retirement security. I likewise believe that defaulting to institutional income solutions will increase my participants' overall retirement security.	
Average 1.0 to 2.0 = It is strongly indicated that affirmative election would be best for the goals and beliefs of the organization. Average 2.0 to 2.5 = It is likely that affirmative election would meet the sponsor's needs and would be consistent wit the sponsor's culture Average 2.0 to 2.5 = It is likely that affirmative election would meet the	of the employer.

and goals for the plan.

